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Discussing “Operational Benefits” at FPAW (2011 – 2013)

• Five (5) Consecutive FPAW panel discussions since 2011 (summer session)

• Participants have included NWS, FAA (SysOps, IP&A), ESRL, Airlines (SWA, UPS, DAL, JBU), Business Aircraft and GA, and Private Companies (SpectraSensors, AvMet)

• Discussions have sought to demonstrate
  – Importance of understanding *operational value* of aviation weather info / forecasts
  – Can be “knife-point edges” and challenging risk management considerations when seeking to favorably balance “cost” vs. “benefits”
    • True for scalable domains (flight, fleet, airport, system) and for different stakeholders (NWS, Airline, ANSP)
  – Significant challenge for all parties to “course-correct” from meteorological verification to defendable, ops-based impact mitigation savings; presented some tools, data, methodologies to assist
  – Effect on “bottom line” (value-added forecasts (NWS), industry revenue (Airlines), ANSP efficiency FAA)) is what should matter most (for utility assessment; likely even development)
  – Tool / data sponsors, Investment Planning / Program Acquisitions paying closer and closer attention to this “bottom line”; increased scrutiny during period of shrinking budgets

• Has been very good to have continued focus on benefits quantification and associated paradigm shifts
Discussing “Operational Benefits” at FPAW

Some Highlights

Methodologies / Initial Paths Forward
Rick Curtis (SWA), 2011

Cost of Delay – User Reality; “Frost Ex.”
Randy Baker (UPS), 2011

NWS Activities in Benefits Quantification
Kevin Stone (NWS), 2012 ('Fcst-Over-Loop' Benefits Case)

- National Aviation Meteorologists at ATSCCC
  - GDP request based on CIWS/CoSPA forecasts
  - NAM input to decision not to implement GDP
  - NTMO noted “GDP would have unnecessarily delayed numerous flights and drawn out the schedule”

- Simulated what would have been incurred by the system given a conservative GDP implementation (212/405e):
  - 322 flights delayed — 232 actual flights delayed at 90 flight delays saved
  - $7465 minutes delay — 5136 minutes actual delay = 2429 minutes saved
  - 23.5 average delay per aircraft (actual 25 2 minutes for all aircrafts)
  - Assuming $10 cost per minute of delay, this one instance of an avoided TMT would have saved roughly $100,000

FAA Investment Planning Challenges
Dan Citrenbaum (FAA), 2013

Some “Grades” that Matter for WX Guidance
Steve McMahon (FAA), 2013

Tools / Approaches for Assessing Ops Benefits
Mike Robinson (AvMet), 2012, 2013

Impact Management Decisions With/Without Fcst Aid
DART Simulations of NAS Response & Outcomes

With Forecast-derived Decision: Airway J29
- open to relieve traffic on VUZ playbook reroute
- playbook reroute traffic uses standard route, J29
- reduced MT, less delay

Without Forecast-derived Decision: VUZ
- closed, heavier MT, longer delays
- only traffic using J29. Playbook rerouting is shown. Color-coding by delay: Red = J29, Blue = J29, Yellow = MT and delay.
Our Operational Benefits Discussion Continues

*Maintaining Momentum, Building on What We’ve Learned*

- Revisiting our broader challenges  
  (Robinson – next slide)

- FAA Weather Acquisitions – historical summary pertaining to benefits  
  (Nick Stoer – 10 min)

- Aviation Weather Benefits from Industry Perspective (winter weather scenario); Possible, additional step forward?  
  (Rick Curtis – 20 min)

- Aviation Weather Benefits from FAA Ops Perspective – understanding contributing elements to an efficient NAS and role of wx guidance in complicated relationship  
  (Leo Prusak – 20 min)

- Wrap-up and Discussion (ALL – 10 min)
Seeking to Quantify Aviation Weather Benefits
You Think THAT is Tough….Some Broader Challenges (1 of 2)

• Significant aviation weather benefits unlikely without accounting for human factors
  – Human factors is extremely important element
  – How to best visualize, disseminate, evaluate, share, and integrate weather information, all with proper training, to affect positive change and overcome current “muscle memory” are fundamental to achieving benefits
  – From this, for example, seemingly ancillary weather / info dissemination improvements may result in significant operational benefits (ex: forecast scoring, RAPT “PIG” timer)

• In some instances, we may be afraid of the benefits answer
  – Achieving significant operational benefits from weather guidance may require fundamental shift to weather translation research and (ex: “penetrable” weather, capacity degradation forecast, not storm forecast)

• In many instances, we should NOT be afraid of the benefits answer
  – Weather forecasts, decisions based on forecasts, will always come with errors
  – Need to recognize this, account for this, and use it to improve risk management and best practices
Seeking to Quantify Aviation Weather Benefits
You Think THAT is Tough….Some Broader Challenges (2 of 2)

• Without close collaboration with operational community, aviation weather products will not be developed optimally for operational use
  – “Embedded” partners; more than surveys and “spot-checks”
  – More than Subject Matter Experts, need operations advocates
  – FPAW has helped to make met research community more aware of how operators evaluate and “score” effective weather forecast utility

• Unfair benefits expectations for technology under development?
  – Requirements / acquisition often scrutinized based on how today’s system operates, but new technology not deployed for years
  – Aviation weather operational benefits achieved when accompanying training is relentless; expectations without this level of training are unrealistic
  – Takes multiple years to modify decision-making model and optimize new tool / approach usage
Seeking to Quantify Aviation Weather Benefits

It IS Challenging….but We Are Assembling Needed Tools/Know-how

• Focus being placed on weather-aware, post-operations analysis

• Weather event normalization becoming a functional reality

• Evaluation of forecast performance / needs from air traffic impact perspective gaining traction

• Agile, weather-aware, superfast-time NAS / airport / TMI simulator now in existence and in use for benefits analysis
  – Employed successfully for FAA Investment Analysis Decision for NextGen Weather Processor (NWP)

• Progress on several other fronts as well, and it continues…. 